

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/806,837	PUGACH, JOSEPH
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy (3) _____

(2) Mr. John Thomas III, applicant's attorney (4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 July 2007

Time: 10:55 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exm'r. requested authorization to amend claim 21 line 3 by replacing "prepanng" with --preparing-- via an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney granted the examiner authorization to enter this proposed Exm'r's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Timothy C Vanoy

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)